

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2007) KLR VOLS 10-12 PART 245 pp. 3929 - 4126

OCTOBER-DECEMBER 2007

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. Shalla v. State p. 3929
2. Yaro v. State p. 3977
3. Amaechi v. Independent National Electoral Commission p. 3999
4. Abubakar v. Chuks p. 4005

COURT OF APPEAL CASES

1. Ani v. State p. 4039 CA
2. Cameroon Airlines v. Kareem p. 4071 CA
3. Odessa v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 4093 CA

2 INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2007) 10-12 KLR

APPEALS - Culpable homicide - Issues - Misconception - Issues of complaint about denial of hearing - Is misconceived and unfounded (H2) Yaro v. State p. 3977

APPEALS - Issues - Misconception - Alleging that lower court did not hear parties - On suo motu issue raised by it - Is unfounded (H2) Shalla v. State p. 3929

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Governor's immunity - S. 308 of 1999 Constitution - Does not avail - Where the wrong has been in existence - Before the election (H2) Amaechi v. INEC p. 3999

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Defences - Culpable homicide - Provocation and justification - There was no material before trial court - To enable it consider these defences - As rightly held by Court of Appeal (H1) Yaro v. State p. 3977

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Homicide - Defences open to accused - Though not raised - Should be considered by trial court - But court cannot consider imaginary defences - That are not available to accused (H1) Shalla v. State p. 3929

CULPABLE HOMICIDE - Evidence - Of prosecution witnesses - Where not challenged or contradicted - Appellant's conviction based on his admission - Is proper (H3) Shalla v. State p. 3929

CULPABLE HOMICIDE - Unchallenged evidence - Coupled with appellant's admission - That he incited other accused persons unto killing deceased - Justify failure of his two issues (H3) Yaro v. State p. 3977

ELECTIONS - Candidates - Disqualification - Substitution - Argument that indictment against appellant - Constituted a reason for his disqualification - Is untenable - Not being substituted lawfully - He remained his party's nominated candidate (H1) Amaechi v. INEC p. 3999

ELECTIONS - Candidates - Substitution - Orders of Court - Where appellant's name was unlawfully removed - He remained PDP'S candidate that won the election - And Supreme Court has jurisdiction - To make a consequential order that justice demands (H3) Amaechi v. INEC p. 3999

EVIDENCE - Disclosure - Meaning - Legal Practitioners - Disclosure of client's communication - Forbidden by s. 170 (1) Evidence Act - Does not arise where there is no secrecy (H5) Abubakar v. Chuks p. 4005

EVIDENCE - Documents - Admissibility - Legally admissible document that is relevant to the facts - And consistent with the pleadings - Is admissible (H2) Abubakar v. Chuks p. 4005

EVIDENCE - Documents - Weight - Relevancy and weight are distinct - Weight comes in after admitting the document - At the stage the judge is evaluating the evidence (H3) Abubakar v. Chuks p. 4005

EVIDENCE - Facts - Admissibility - Determinant factor - Is relevancy of the facts - As provided by ss. 6, 7, & 8 Evidence Act - Court considers relevancy - Not how the evidence was obtained (H1) Abubakar v. Chuks p. 4005

EVIDENCE - Relevance - Interlocutory matters - Document that is duly pleaded - Is declared relevant by the Supreme Court - While its weight is left to be determined by trial court (H4) Abubakar v. Chuks p. 4005

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Relevance - Cases cited by appellant's counsel - Are not relevant to the issue in this case - And Elebanjo case cited is against appellant (H6) Abubakar v. Chuks p. 4005

COURT OF APPEAL

ACTIONS - Damages - Applicable law - Where a common law right - Has been enacted into statutory provision - The statute not common law will now be applicable - Trial court erred in applying common law (H2) Cameroon Airlines v. Kareem p. 4071 CA

APPEALS - Issues - Propriety - Issue that did not arise before trial court - And one that will be determined as case is remitted for fresh trial - Should not be determined by appeal court (H8) Odessa v. FRN p. 4093 CA

APPEALS - Parties - Preliminary objection by 2nd respondent - That his name be struck out from the appeal - As not being a party - Is struck out for being unfounded (H1) Odessa v. FRN p. 4093 CA

ARMED ROBBERY - Proof - Standard is proof beyond reasonable doubt - Ingredients prosecution must prove to succeed - Include that the accused participated in the armed robbery (H1) Ani v. State p. 4039 CA

CARRIAGE BY AIR - Damages - Pleadings - Loss of luggage - Proof - Burden of proving the carrier's wilful misconduct - For computation of damages to be at large - Is on the plaintiff who failed to discharge the burden (H5) Cameroon Airlines v. Kareem p. 4071 CA

CARRIAGE BY AIR - Loss of luggage - Compensation for - Where its computation must be based on weight of the luggage - Failure to prove the weight - Removes proper basis for computing damages (H8) Cameroon Airlines v. Kareem p. 4071 CA

CARRIAGE BY AIR - Loss of luggage - Weight - As computation of damages is based on weight - Burden of proving the weight is on plaintiff - Since he who claims special damages - Has to prove it strictly (H6) Cameroon Airlines v. Kareem p. 4071 CA

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Fair hearing - Charges - Validity of - Suo motu raising of issue by trial court - Without giving appellant a hearing - Violates natural justice principles - Enshrined in s.36 of 1999 Constitution (H5) *Odessa v. FRN* p. 4093 CA

CONTRACTS - Carriage by air - Conditions of contract in this case - Are as contained in the Warsaw Convention - Which is not ousted because of weight and value issues (H3) *Cameroon Airlines v. Kareem* p. 4071 CA

COURTS - Jurisdiction - Where objection to the Court's jurisdiction is raised - It should be determined before proceeding with the trial - Since anything done without jurisdiction is a nullity (H6) *Odessa v. FRN* p. 4093 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Alibi - Proof - Once accused is fixed at the scene of crime - Defence of alibi need not be investigated by police - Trial court was right in rejecting the defence (H9) *Ani v. State* p. 4039 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Armed robbery - Evaluation of evidence - Was properly done by trial court - In finding appellants guilty (H7) *Ani v. State* p. 4039 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Armed robbery - Proof - Duty of prosecution - Failure to call two prosecution witnesses - Occasioned no miscarriage of justice - Cases of Ahmed cited by appellants is distinguishable - Trial court rightly convicted appellants as charged (H10) *Ani v. State* p. 4039 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Cross examination - Inconsistency - Identification of accused - Testimony of PW1 is not contradictory - As his further testimony under cross examination - Is an addition and not a retraction (H4) *Ani v. State* p. 4039 CA

6 INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2007) 10-12 KLR CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Defences - Alibi - Meaning - Need for court to consider every defence - Evidence of alibi should not be disregarded - Unless there is a stronger evidence against it (H8) Ani v. State p. 4039 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Evidence - Tainted witnesses - Though the phrase has no specific definition - Husband and wife that have no own purpose to serve - And whose evidence trial court found credible - Cannot be described as such (H5) Ani v. State p. 4039 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Fair hearing - Is a right that avails accused - From point of taking plea until delivery of judgment - Being guaranteed by s. 36 of 1999 Constitution - It cannot be waived or acquiesced (H3) Odessa v. FRN p. 4093 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Witnesses - Contradiction and inconsistency - To be of importance - Should be on material facts - Non conflicting evidence not embodied in statement to police - Can be given in court for emphasis (H3) Ani v. State p. 4039 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Witnesses - Early disclosure of fact - Where reason for not so doing was adequately explained - It does not make the testimony inconsistent - Cases cited by appellants - Are distinguishable (H6) Ani v. State p. 4039 CA

EVIDENCE - Witnesses - Reliability - Inconsistent testimony on material facts - Makes an evidence unreliable and it will be rejected (H2) Ani v. State p. 4039 CA

FAIR HEARING - Definition and scope - Affords a party opportunity to present his case - It lies in the procedure not in the correctness of the decision (H4) Odessa v. FRN p. 4093 CA

FAIR HEARING - Denial - Effect - Lack of jurisdiction - It is immaterial that same decision would have been reached - In the absence of fair

hearing - The entire decision and subsequent proceedings in the matter - Will be nullified (H7) *Odessa v. FRN* p. 4093 CA

JUDGMENTS - Appeals - Sustenance - Obiter dictum - Cannot sustain an appeal - Present appeal being based on court's decision and not obiter - Is sustained (H2) *Odessa v. FRN* p. 4093 CA

PLEADINGS - Contracts - Warsaw Convention - Point of law - Parties cannot by consent - Preclude court from expounding the law - Onus of showing exceptions that take this case out of the Warsaw Convention - Rests on respondent (H1) *Cameroon Airlines v. Kareem* p. 4071 CA

STATUTES - Activating a provision - Carriage by air - Warsaw Convention Art. 22 (2) - What plaintiff must show for the Article to apply - Which includes special declaration of value of luggage - Was not complied with (H7) *Cameroon Airlines v. Kareem* p. 4071 CA

STATUTES - Applicability - Warsaw Convention Art. 22 & 25 - Carriage of goods by air - For damages awardable to be at large - Mere recklessness of the carrier is not sufficient - Wilful misconduct must be shown (H4) *Cameroon Airlines v. Kareem* p. 4071 CA

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Constitution of Nigeria 1999 s. 241 *Abubakar v. Chuks* p. 4005, s. 308 *Amaechi v. INEC* p. 3999, s. 36 *Shalla v. State* p. 3929, s. 36 *Yaro v. State* p. 3977

Court of Appeal Act Cap C 36 LFN 2004 s. 15 *Shalla v. State* p. 3929
Court of Appeal Rules 2002 O.1 r. 19 (4) & (3) *Yaro v. State* p. 3977
Electoral Act 2006 s. 34 (2) *Amaechi v. INEC* p. 3999

Evidence Act s. 141 *Shalla v. State* p. 3929; ss. 170 (1), 171, 6, 7, 8, 154 (1) & (2), 227 (1), 27 *Abubakar v. Chuks* p. 4005; ss. 27, 7 *Yaro v. State*

p. 3977

Penal Code ss. 97, 85, 221 (a), 22 (a), 45, 222 (1) Yaro v. State p. 3977;
ss. 97, 85, 221 (a), 45, 222 (1) Shalla v. State p. 3929

COURT OF APPEAL

Carriage by Air (Colonies, Protectorates and Trust Territories) Order 1953,
Vol XI, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria and Lagos, 1958 Cameroon Air-
lines v. Kareem p. 4071 CA

Constitution of Nigeria 1979 s. 277 (1) Odessa v. FRN p. 4093 CA
Constitution of Nigeria 1999 ss. 36, 308(1) & (2), 318 Odessa v. FRN p.
4093 CA

Court of Appeal Rules 2002 O. 3 r.15 Odessa v. FRN p. 4093 CA
Criminal Procedure Act Cap. C41 LFN 2004 s. 33 Odessa v. FRN p.
4093 CA

Evidence Act 1990 s.135 Cameroon Airlines v. Kareem p. 4071 CA; ss.190,
191 Odessa v. FRN p. 4093 CA; ss. 138, 149 (d) Ani v. State p. 4039 CA

Money Laundering Decree No. 3 of 1995 ss. 13 (2), 15 (1)(f), 16 Odessa
v. FRN p. 4093 CA

Robbery and Firearms (Special Provisions) Act, Cap. 398, Vol. XX11
LFN 1990 s. 1 (2)(a) Ani v. State p. 4039 CA

Warsaw Convention 1929 (as amended by the Hague Protocol, 1955) Art. 1
(1) & (2), 18 (1), 19, 24, 25, 22, 8 (c), 4 (2), 5 (1), 9, 2 (1) Cameroon
Airlines v. Kareem p. 4071 CA